WO

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** 

NOV 1 9 0000

RECEIVED

NOV 1 2 2008

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT

LODGED

COPY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TREAT

	Jua	an Moi	<u>eno-Gonzalez</u>	Case Number:	CR 08-1308-PHX-GMS	
In acco are esta	rdance v ablished	with the l	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	(f), a detention hearing has t	peen held. I conclude that the following facts	
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
$\square$		eponder his case	).		equire the detention of the defendant pending	
_				- FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)		fendant has been convicted of a if a circumstance giving rise to fe		cal offense that would have been a federald) that is	
			a crime of violence as defined in	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the maximum	n sentence is life imprisonn	nent or death.	
			an offense for which a maximum	term of imprisonment of ter	years or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committed after described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(	the defendant had been co 1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses ate or local offenses.	
	(2)	The off state of	ense described in finding 1 was co r local offense.	mmitted while the defenda	nt was on release pending trial for a federal,	
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.				
	(4)	reason	is Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rably assure the safety of (an)other d this presumption.	ebuttable presumption that person(s) and the commun	no condition or combination of conditions will ity. I further find that the defendant has not	
			Alte	rnative Findings		
	(1)	There i	s probable cause to believe that th	e defendant has committed	an offense	
			for which a maximum term of imp	risonment of ten years or m	nore is prescribed in ²	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)			
	(2)	The de	fendant has not rebutted the presons will reasonably assure the appo	sumption established by fir earance of the defendant as	nding 1 that no condition or combination of required and the safety of the community.	
			Alte	rnative Findings		
	(1)	There is	s a serious risk that the defendant bearance of the defendant as requi	will flee; no condition or com	bination of conditions will reasonably assure	
	(2)	No con	dition or combination of conditions	will reasonably assure the	safety of others and the community.	
	(3)	There is			ostruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate	
	(4)	•				
,						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

## 

# PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:				
z.					
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
Œ	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.				
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
	If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
Œ	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	The defendant lives and works in Mexico.				
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.				
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
U	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:					
/					
In add	Hendant tested positive for meth while				
	on 1 y 3 success of the				
<del></del>					

time of the hearing in this matter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the

### 

#### **PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) will waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: 11-12-08

LAWRENCE O. ANDERSON United States Magistrate Judge